

## REMARKS

The continued allowability of claims 4, 16 and 19-21 is again acknowledged appreciatively and, this time, accepted by combining claim 16 with claim 1. Claims 3, 4 (again), 10-14, 23 and 24 become allowable therewith.


Claim 18, the parent of already allowable claims 19-21, is combined with independent claim 2. Therefore, claim 25 being cancelled, only the rejection of claim 18 under 35 USC 102 for anticipation by the cited Baro, et al. patent now needs consideration.

As the rejection for anticipation requires in the Baro, et al. patent the identical invention to that claimed, the limitation of claim 18 now in claim 2 requires in the patent a device for liquid exchange from the first chamber to the second chamber or reservoir. Finding in the Action (page 4) per Fig. 4 that the conduit 4 of the Baro, et al. patent is an air chamber between a reservoir supplying fluid through the pump and the first chamber 8 is not finding a device for liquid exchange, as claimed.

Moreover, claim 2 requires the first chamber connected via the second chamber with the reservoir. Therefore, in Fig. 4 of the Baro, et al. patent, the first chamber has to be 9 connected via a second chamber 8 with a reservoir 4, which contradicts the finding of first chamber 8 in the Action, or conduit 4 of the patent has to be the second chamber via to the reservoir, which contradicts the requirement of claim 2 for the second chamber to be on the back, as shown in Fig. 4 of the patent.

Reconsideration and allowance are, therefore, requested.

Respectfully submitted,



---

William R. Evans  
c/o Ladas & Parry LLP  
26 West 61<sup>st</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10023  
Reg. No. 25858  
Tel. No. (212) 708-1930